- 420. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 419 of this Answer.
 - 421. Denied.
 - 422. Denied.

Count 2125

- 423. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 422 of this Answer.
 - 424. Denied.
 - 425. Denied.

Count 2126

- 426. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 425 of this Answer.
 - 427. Denied.
 - 428. Denied.

Count 2127

- 429. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 428 of this Answer.
 - 430. Denied.
 - 431. Denied.

- 432. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 431 of this Answer.
 - 433. Denied.

434. Denied.

Count 2129

- 435. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 434 of this Answer.
 - 436. Denied.
 - 437. Denied.

Count 2130

- 438. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 437 of this Answer.
 - 439. Denied.
 - 440. Denied.

Count 2131

- 441. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 440 of this Answer.
 - 442. Denied.
 - 443. Denied.

Count 2132

- 444. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 443 of this Answer.
 - 445. Denied.
 - 446. Denied.

Count 2133

447. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 446 of this Answer.

448. Denied.449. Denied.450. Respond

Count 2134

- 450. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 449 of this Answer.
 - 451. Denied.
 - 452. Denied.

Count 2135

- 453. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 452 of this Answer.
 - 454. Denied.
 - 455. Denied.

Count 2136

- 456. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 455 of this Answer.
 - 457. Denied.
 - 458. Denied.

- 459. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 458 of this Answer.
 - 460. Denied.
 - 461. Denied.

- 462. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 461 of this Answer.
 - 463. Denied.
 - 464. Denied.

Count 2139

- 465. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 464 of this Answer.
 - 466. Denied.
 - 467. Denied.

Count 2140

- 468. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 467 of this Answer.
 - 469. Denied.
 - 470. Denied.

- 471. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 470 of this Answer.
 - 472. Denied.
- 473. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 474. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

- 475. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 474 of this Answer.
 - 476. Denied.
- 477. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 478. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

Count 2143

- 479. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 478 of this Answer.
 - 480. Denied.
- 481. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 482. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

- 483. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 482 of this Answer.
 - 484. Denied.
- 485. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.

486. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

Count 2145

- 487. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 486 of this Answer.
 - 488. Denied.
- 489. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 490. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

Count 2146

- 491. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 490 of this Answer.
 - 492. Denied.
- 493. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 494. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

Count 2147

495. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 494 of this Answer.

- 496. Denied.
- 497. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 498. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

- 499. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 498 of this Answer.
 - 500. Denied.
- 501. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 502. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

- 503. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 502 of this Answer.
 - 504. Denied.
- 505. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 506. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

- 507. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 506 of this Answer.
 - 508. Denied.
- 509. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 510. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

Count 2151

- 511. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 510 of this Answer.
 - 512. Denied.
- 513. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 514. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

- 515. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 514 of this Answer.
 - 516. Denied.
- 517. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.

518. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

Count 2153

- 519. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 518 of this Answer.
 - 520. Denied.
- 521. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 522. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

Count 2154

- 523. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 522 of this Answer.
 - 524. Denied.
- 525. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 526. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

Count 2155

527. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 526 of this Answer.

- 528. Denied.
- 529. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 530. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

- 531. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 530 of this Answer.
 - 532. Denied.
- 533. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 534. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

- 535. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 534 of this Answer.
 - 536. Denied.
- 537. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 538. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

- 539. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 538 of this Answer.
 - 540. Denied.
- 541. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 542. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

Count 2159

- 543. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 542 of this Answer.
 - 544. Denied.
- 545. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 546. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

- 547. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 546 of this Answer.
 - 548. Denied.
- 549. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.

550. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

Count 2161

- 551. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 550 of this Answer.
 - 552. Denied.
- 553. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 554. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

Count 2162

- 555. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 554 of this Answer.
 - 556. Denied.
- 557. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 558. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

Count 2163

559. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 558 of this Answer.

- 560. Denied.
- 561. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 562. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

- 563. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 562 of this Answer.
 - 564. Denied.
- 565. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 566. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

- 567. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 566 of this Answer.
 - 568. Denied.
- 569. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 570. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

- 571. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 570 of this Answer.
 - 572. Denied.
- 573. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 574. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

Count 2167

- 575. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 574 of this Answer.
 - 576. Denied.
- 577. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 578. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

- 579. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 578 of this Answer.
 - 580. Denied.
- 581. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.

582. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

Count 2169

- 583. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 582 of this Answer.
 - 584. Denied.
- 585. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 586. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

Count 2170

- 587. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 586 of this Answer.
 - 588. Denied.
- 589. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 590. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

Count 2171

591. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 590 of this Answer.

- 592. Denied.
- 593. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 594. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

- 595. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 594 of this Answer.
 - 596. Denied.
- 597. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 598. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

- 599. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 598 of this Answer.
 - 600. Denied.
- 601. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 602. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

- 603. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 602 of this Answer.
 - 604. Denied.
- 605. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 606. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

Count 2175

- 607. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 606 of this Answer.
 - 608. Denied.
- 609. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 610. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

- 611. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 610 of this Answer.
 - 612. Denied.
- 613. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.

614. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

Count 2177

- 615. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 614 of this Answer.
 - 616. Denied.
- 617. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 618. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

Count 2178

- 619. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 618 of this Answer.
 - 620. Denied.
- 621. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 622. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

Count 2179

623. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 622 of this Answer.

- 624. Denied.
- 625. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 626. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

- 627. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 626 of this Answer.
 - 628. Denied.
- 629. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 630. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

- 631. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 630 of this Answer.
 - 632. Denied.
- 633. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 634. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

- 635. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 634 of this Answer.
 - 636. Denied.
- 637. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 638. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

Count 2183

- 639. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 638 of this Answer.
 - 640. Denied.
- 641. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 642. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

Counts 2184 through 2231

- 643. Respondent incorporates by reference the admissions, denials and assertions contained in paragraphs 1 through 642 of this Answer.
 - 644. Denied.
- 645. This paragraph in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.

- 646. Denied.
- 647. This paragraph in the original Complaint was the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is required.
- 648. Denied. A portion of the sentence in the original Complaint was removed in the First Amended Complaint. Therefore, no response is needed with respect to this deletion.

Proposed Civil Penalty

649. Respondent lacks knowledge or information sufficient to determine what the Complainant considered in proposing a disproportionate, excessive and draconian penalty of \$2,891,200 on a small pesticide manufacturer, from an industry-wide perspective, for a very limited number of radio and print ads that Respondent asserts complied with FIFRA and for truthful statements that are consistent with the materials required by EPA as part of the registration of the affected pesticide products. Respondent also asserts that EPA's *Enforcement Response Policy* is not legally binding in this proceeding and is entitled to no weight in determining those statutory factors that may be considered in calculating a penalty for any of the alleged violations. Respondent further asserts that, even if EPA's *Enforcement Response Policy* is entitled to some weight, the Complainant has made numerous errors of fact and judgment in the selective, inconsistent, arbitrary and capricious manner it has applied that policy to Liphatech.

The Circumstances or Arguments Which Constitute Grounds of Defense and the Basis for Opposing the Proposed Penalty

Substantial Compliance with FIFRA and Applicable Regulations

- 650. The Complainant's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because the advertisements and the information that are the subject of this Complaint substantially complied with FIFRA and the applicable regulations during all times relevant to this Complaint.
- 651. Respondent's statements in its advertisements and other informational pieces about its registered products were truthful and not misleading.
- 652. Respondent's advertisements and other informational pieces did not contain claims for its products that differed substantially from claims for the products that were made in connection with their registration under FIFRA.

Excessive, Unreasonable and Disproportionate Penalty

- 653. The proposed penalty is excessive, unreasonable, disparate and disproportionate and violates the Eighth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.
- 654. On its face, the proposed penalty of \$2,268,500 for allegedly failing to state in four radio advertisements (two of 30 seconds duration and two of 60 seconds duration) and several print advertisements that a product is a "restricted use product," when the label was incorporated by reference into the advertisements, would not serve any legitimate regulatory objective and is disproportionate to the actual gravity of the alleged violations. Moreover, if the Kansas Department of Agriculture and/or the EPA would have simply issued Respondent a warning letter in November 2007, the vast majority of the acts which Complainant alleges are violations of FIFRA would have been avoided.

The EPA Penalty Policy Is Fatally Flawed and Fails to Take Into Account Facts and Circumstances Unique to This Case

- capricious manner that, among other things, fails to take into account the pervasive regulatory controls that are placed on the handling, distribution, sale and use of the pesticide products in question when calculating a proposed penalty for the alleged violations. These controls preclude any harm to human health or the environment from occurring as a result of the violations alleged in the Complaint. The Complainant has also applied the EPA penalty policy in a manner that fails to take into account the limited size and nature of the markets in which the advertisements were broadcast and distributed in calculating the proposed penalty in this case. To the extent that the EPA penalty policy may be construed to preclude Complainant from properly considering these facts and circumstances, it is flawed and should be disregarded.
- disregards the facts and circumstances relevant to the First Amended Complaint, where, for example, only four radio advertisements were broadcast multiple times, and the Complainant has based the proposed penalty on alleged multiple violations resulting from broadcasting and placing the advertisements in small, rural markets. To the extent that the EPA penalty policy affords the Complainant no flexibility to consider these facts and circumstances in determining the number of discrete violations that should be alleged, it is flawed and should be disregarded.

Complainant Misinterprets and Misapplies the EPA Penalty Policy

657. Complainant's selection, application, and interpretation of the penalty policy as applied to this case is arbitrary and capricious. In addition, the Complainant has

misinterpreted and misapplied the EPA penalty policy to the facts and circumstances of this case. The Complainant has made numerous errors of fact and judgment in applying the policy, resulting in an excessive, unreasonable and disproportionate penalty to the extent Respondent has violated FIFRA and its applicable regulations (which Respondent denies). The Complainant has greatly overestimated the potential harm that might have or could have occurred to human health or the environment from the alleged violations, if any. The Complainant has also greatly overstated the potential toxicity of the products involved in this case. The Complainant has also applied the incorrect penalty policy to the facts and circumstances of this case.

Complainant's Interpretation of the Number of Alleged Violations In This

Case Is Arbitrary and Capricious

- 658. The Complainant's identification and selection of the number of alleged violations in this case is inconsistent, unreasonable, arbitrary and capricious.
 - Complainant's Delay in Instituting Enforcement Action Negates Its Allegation that Respondent Allegedly Endangered Human Health or the Environment
- of 59. Regulatory authorities apparently became aware of alleged potential issues with Respondent's print and broadcast advertisements as early as November 2007. However, the regulators did not contact Respondent about its advertisements until June 2008. Respondent does not dispute the right of regulatory authorities to wait approximately eight months (during which time a large percentage of the advertisements were broadcast) to commence an enforcement action. Nevertheless, this significant delay evidences an acknowledgement on the part of the regulators that the alleged violations that are set forth in the First Amended Complaint were neither serious nor of a substantial nature. This delay by the regulators must be taken into account in determining a

reasonable and appropriate penalty for any acts of Respondent that are determined to have violated FIFRA and its applicable regulations.

- Complainant's Interpretation of Applicable Statutory and Regulatory Provisions is Incorrect and Infringes Upon Respondent's Right to Commercial Free Speech Under the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution
- 660. The Complainant's interpretation of FIFRA and its applicable regulations is incorrect and infringes upon Respondent's right to commercial free speech under the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

Respondent's Advertisements Are Not Labeling

661. Under FIFRA, labeling is defined as labels and all other written, printed or graphic matter that either accompanies the product at any time or to which reference is made on the label or in literature accompanying the pesticide. At no time relevant to the First Amended Complaint did any of the materials or information described in the First Amended Complaint accompany the product, and, at no time relevant to the First Amended Complaint, were any of the materials or information described in the First Amended Complaint either referenced on the label or in literature accompanying the product. Therefore, EPA's regulations regarding labeling do not apply to Respondent's advertising.

Labeling Requirements Under FIFRA Do Not Apply to Respondent's Advertising Claims

of the First Amended Complaint to mean the label approved by the U.S. EPA and any subsequent amendments to the label approved by the U.S. EPA. The Complainant then alleges that any advertising claims for Respondent's products that are "substantially different" from the "accepted label" constitute a violation of FIFRA. Based on this

reading of FIFRA, Complainant alleges that Respondent violated FIFRA as set forth, for example, in paragraphs 147, 150, 153, 156, 159, 162, 165, 168, 171, 174, 177, 180, 183, 186, 189, 192, 195, 200, 203 and 207 of the Complaint. Respondent asserts that the Complainant misinterprets FIFRA on the following two grounds: (a) Respondent's advertising that is described in the First Amended Complaint is not labeling and is not subject to FIFRA requirements governing labeling; and (b) the standard for comparison for "differing claims" under FIFRA is all information required in connection with the registration of the product, not just the "accepted label."

Violations Did Not Occur Where No Nexus Existed Between the Respondent's Advertising and the Sale of Product

663. The Complainant has failed to show any, much less a sufficient, nexus between the Respondent's advertising and the sales of all of Respondent's pesticide products identified in the First Amended Complaint to establish that each and every one of the alleged incidents constitutes a violation of FIFRA.

Violations Did Not Occur Where the Product Was Sold or Distributed Before the Alleged Claims Were Made

664. The Complainant has incorrectly alleged that sales of Respondent's registered pesticide products that occurred prior to the time sales literature entered the stream of commerce were violations of FIFRA. Advertising materials that were disseminated after distribution and sale of Respondent's registered pesticide products cannot under any reasonable construction of FIFRA and the implementing regulations constitute a claim made as part of any prior distribution and sale of the pesticide. Any distribution and sale made prior to dissemination of the advertising materials that allegedly included improper claims was not a violation of FIFRA.

Fair Notice

- 665. Complainant's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because the EPA did not provide and has not provided fair notice of the interpretations of FIFRA and its implementing regulations now advanced in the First Amended Complaint. Accordingly, Complainant's efforts to enforce retroactively these new interpretations deprive Respondent of due process of law and equal protection of the laws as guaranteed by the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States and the Administrative Procedures Act, 5 U.S.C. § 551 et seq.
- 666. Complainant's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because the legal theories advanced in the First Amended Complaint represent changes in EPA's interpretation of FIFRA and associated regulations that do not comply with the requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. § 551 *et seq*.

Incorporation of Affirmative and Other Defenses in Answer

667. Respondent incorporates by reference any and all affirmative and other defenses set forth in paragraphs 1-649 of its Answer and in the Motions and Briefs which Liphatech has filed in this proceeding.

Request for a Settlement Conference

668. Respondent acknowledges that Complainant and Respondent may continue informal settlement discussions after Respondent files its Answer to the First Amended Complaint.

Request for a Hearing

669. As stated above, Respondent contests certain material facts upon which the First Amended Complaint is based and contends that the \$2,891,200 proposed penalty is inappropriate. Therefore, Respondent requests a hearing before an Administrative Law Judge.

Dated this day of February, 2011.

Reinhart Boerner Van Deuren s.c. 1000 North Water Street, Suite 1700

Milwaukee, WI 53202 Telephone: 414-298-1000 Facsimile: 414-298-8097

Mailing Address: P.O. Box 2965

Milwaukee, WI 53201-2965

Michael H. Simpson

WI State Bar ID No. 1014363 msimpson@reinhartlaw.com Jeffrey P. Clark

WI State Bar ID No. 1009316 jclark@reinhartlaw.com Lucas N. Roe

WI State Bar ID No. 1069233 lroe@reinhartlaw.com

Attorneys for Respondent Liphatech, Inc.





UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 5 77 WEST JACKSON BOULEVARD CHICAGO, IL 60604-3590 SEP 1 8 2009

REPLY TO THE ATTENTION OF: LC-8J

CERTIFIED MAIL

Receipt No. 7001 0320 0005 8920 0326

Mr. Carl Tanner
Chief Executive Officer
Liphatech, Inc.
3600 West Elm Street
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53209

Re: Notice of Intent to File Administrative Complaint against Liphatech, Inc.

Dear Mr. Tanner:

1

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5 plans to file an administrative complaint for civil penalties against Liphatech, Inc. (you). We will allege that you violated the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), 7 U.S.C. §§ 136j(a)(2)(E) and 136j(a)(1)(B), by advertising "Rozol," EPA Registration Number 7173-244, without providing the restricted use classification given to the product under section 3 of FIFRA, and by making claims as part of the distribution and sale of "Rozol," EPA Registration Number 7173-244, which significantly differ from the statement required as part of the product's registration under section 3 of FIFRA. Based on information currently available to us, we plan to propose a penalty of \$1,280,500 in the complaint.

FIFRA governs the regulation of pesticides in the United States. Under FIFRA, all pesticides must be registered by EPA before they may be sold or distributed in commerce. FIFRA sets an overall risk/benefit standard for pesticide registration, requiring that pesticides perform their intended function, when used according to labeling directions, without posing unreasonable risks of adverse effects on human health or the environment. In making pesticide registration decisions, EPA is required by law to take into account the economic, social, and environmental costs and benefits of pesticide uses.

This letter is not a demand to pay a penalty. We will not ask you to pay a penalty until we file the complaint or a final order. Before filing the complaint, we are giving you the opportunity to present any information that you believe we should consider. Relevant information might include evidence that you did not violate the law; evidence that you relied on compliance assistance from EPA or a state agency; evidence that we identified the wrong party; or financial data bearing on your ability to pay a penalty.



If you believe that you will be unable to pay a \$1,280,500 penalty because of financial reasons, please send us certified, complete financial statements including balance sheets, income statements and all notes to the financial statements, and your company's signed income tax returns with all schedules and amendments, for the past three years.

You may assert a claim of business confidentiality under 40 C.F.R. part 2, subpart B, for any portion of the information you submit to us. Information subject to a business confidentiality claim is available to the public only to the extent allowed by 40 C.F.R. part 2, subpart B. If you fail to assert a business confidentiality claim, EPA may make all submitted information available, without further notice, to any member of the public who requests it.

We may use any information you submit in support of an administrative, civil, or criminal action.

Within ten calendar days after you receive this letter, please send your response to:

Kevin Chow (C-14J)
Associate Regional Counsel
U.S. EPA, Region 5
77 West Jackson Boulevard
Chicago, Illinois 60604

We plan to file the complaint against you 14 calendar days after you receive this letter unless you give us information that the complaint is not substantially justified.

If you have any questions, please telephone Mr. Chow at (312) 353-6181.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,
Mardi Clar

Mardi Klevs

Chief

Chemicals Management Branch Land and Chemicals Division



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 5 77 WEST JACKSON BOULEVARD CHICAGO, IL 60604-3590

APR 1 2010

REPLY TO THE ATTENTION OF: LC-8J

CERTIFIED MAIL.

Receipt No. 7001 0320 0005 8920 0180

Mr. Carl Tanner Chief Executive Officer Liphatech, Inc. 3600 West Elm Street Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53209

Re: Updated Notice of Intent to File an Administrative Complaint against Liphatech, Inc.

Dear Mr. Tanner:

This is an updated Notice of Intent to File an Administrative Complaint against Liphatech, Inc. This updated Notice supersedes the Notice of Intent dated September 18, 2009. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5 plans to file an administrative complaint for civil penalties against Liphatech, Inc. (you). We will allege that you violated the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) as follows:

- (a) Liphatech distributed or sold the registered pesticides "Rozol Pocket Gopher Bait Burrow Builder Formula," EPA Reg. No. 7173-244, and "Rozol Prairie Dog Bait," EPA Reg. No. 7173-286, with claims made for them as part of their distribution or sale that substantially differed from claims made for them as part of the statements required in connection with their registrations under Section 3 of FIFRA in violation of Section 12(a)(1)(B) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(1)(B);
- (b) Liphatech distributed or sold "Rozol Pocket Gopher Bait Burrow Builder Formula," EPA Reg. No. 7173-244, and "Rozol Prairie Dog Bait," EPA Reg. No. 7173-286, which were misbranded in violation of Section 12(a)(1)(E) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(1)(E); and
- (c) Liphatech advertised "Rozol Pocket Gopher Bait Burrow Builder Formula," EPA Reg. No. 7173-244, a product registered under FIFRA for restricted use without giving the classification of the product assigned to it under Section 3 of FIFRA in violation of Section 12(a)(2)(E) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(2)(E).

Based on information currently available to us, we plan to propose a penalty of \$2,941,456 in the Complaint.



FIFRA governs the regulation of pesticides in the United States. Under FIFRA, all pesticides must be registered by EPA before they may be sold or distributed in commerce. FIFRA sets an overall risk/benefit standard for pesticide registration, requiring that pesticides perform their intended function, when used according to labeling directions, without posing unreasonable risks of adverse effects on human health or the environment. In making pesticide registration decisions, EPA is required by law to take into account the economic, social, and environmental costs and benefits of pesticide uses.

This letter is not a demand to pay a penalty. We will not ask you to pay a penalty until we file the complaint or a final order. Before filing the complaint, we are giving you the opportunity to present any information that you believe we should consider. Relevant information might include evidence that you did not violate the law; evidence that you relied on compliance assistance from EPA or a state agency; evidence that we identified the wrong party; or financial data bearing on your ability to pay a penalty.

If you believe that you will be unable to pay a \$2,941,456 penalty because of financial reasons, please send us audited or certified complete financial statements including balance sheets, income statements and all notes to the financial statements, and your company's signed income tax returns with all schedules and amendments for the last three years. You should send this financial information for Liphatech, Inc., as well as for all parent corporations up to the ultimate parent of the corporate family. In addition, please provide any other financial information or documentation that you feel would inform the government's understanding of the company's financial situation. Once our financial analysts have reviewed this initial information, they may require additional financial information to complete the financial review.

You may assert a claim of business confidentiality under 40 C.F.R. part 2, subpart B, for any portion of the information you submit to us. Information subject to a business confidentiality claim is available to the public only to the extent allowed by 40 C.F.R. part 2, subpart B. If you fail to assert a business confidentiality claim, EPA may make all submitted information available, without further notice, to any member of the public who requests it.

We may use any information you submit in support of an administrative, civil, or criminal action.

Within ten calendar days after you receive this letter, please send your response to:

Nidhi K. O'Meara (C-14J) Associate Regional Counsel U.S. EPA, Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago, Illinois 60604

We plan to file the complaint against you after you receive this letter unless you give us information that the complaint is not substantially justified.

If you have any questions, please telephone Mrs. O'Meara at (312) 886-0568.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Tony Marting, axtury for M. Kless Mardi Klevs

Chief

Chemicals Management Branch Land and Chemicals Division

cc: Mr. Michael H. Simpson Reinhart, Boerner, Van Deuren, s.c. 1000 North Water Street, Suite 1700 Milwaukee, WI 53202